UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/546,391	08/18/2005	Willem Lubertus Ijzerman	NL 030166	1027
	7590 11/21/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			SHANKAR, VIJAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2629		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/546,391	IJZERMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VIJAY SHANKAR	2629		

-			
	VIJAY SHANKAR	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance (t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading they raise new issues that would require further condition they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in beto.	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant argues that Pamula, does not teach "retaining removing any potential from the address electrode". Exathis limitation. Pamula teaches the retain electrode at coelectrode is clearly indicated in this same section as the allowing the droplet to settle between second and third € 57;Col.30, line 27- Col.31, line 32 12. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	a current state by applying a poten aminer respectfully disagrees. As incolumn 8, lines 56- column 9, lines 1- first electrode; the potential in the f electrodes. Also, See Col.6, line 53-	tial to the retain electi dicated above, Pamul 4 as the third electrod irst electrode is remover	ode and a clearly teaches le. The address ved thereby
13. Other:	, , , ,		

Application No.

/VIJAY SHANKAR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081118